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ABSTRACT

The ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) has been implicated in a variety of social, cognitive, and affective functions that are commonly disrupted in mental illness. In this review, we summarize data from a diverse array of human and animal studies demonstrating that the vmPFC is a key node of cortical and subcortical networks that subserve at least three broad domains of psychological function linked to psychopathology. One track of research indicates that the vmPFC is critical for the representation of reward- and value-based decision making, through interactions with the ventral striatum and amygdala. A second track of research demonstrates that the vmPFC is critical for the generation and regulation of negative emotion, through its interactions with the amygdala, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, periaqueductal gray, hippocampus, and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex. A third track of research shows the importance of the vmPFC in multiple aspects of social cognition, such as facial emotion recognition, theory-of-mind ability, and processing self-relevant information, through its interactions with the posterior cingulate cortex, precuneus, dorsomedial PFC, and amygdala. We then present meta-analytic data revealing distinct subregions within the vmPFC that correspond to each of these three functions, as well as the associations between these subregions and specific psychiatric disorders (depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, addiction, social anxiety disorder, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder). We conclude by describing several translational possibilities for clinical studies of vmPFC-based circuits, including neuropsychological assessment of transdiagnostic functions, anatomical targets for intervention, predictors of treatment response, markers of treatment efficacy, and subtyping within disorders.
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A key step toward developing a neuropathophysiologically based system of diagnosis and treatment for mental illness is characterizing the brain circuitry that underlies the critical domains of social, cognitive, and affective function that are disrupted in psychiatric disorders. The ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) has been one of the principal brain regions of empirical study in this regard. Decades of research studies have demonstrated the importance of the vmPFC in social and affective function, yet the precise role of this brain region in various forms of psychopathology remains unclear. The purpose of this review is to collate and summarize research findings on vmPFC function at the neural systems level in order to highlight areas of convergence as well as discrepancies, gaps, and opportunities for future research and clinical translation.

This review begins with a brief anatomical overview of the vmPFC, followed by a description of three major tracks of research—one highlighting the role of the vmPFC in value representation, another emphasizing the role of the vmPFC in emotion regulation, and a third examining the role of the vmPFC in social cognition. In relation to each of these psychological domains, we delineate the network of brain regions with which the vmPFC interacts. The narrative portion of this review is not intended to be comprehensive or exhaustive; citations were selected as exemplars to illustrate the breadth of functions ascribed to the vmPFC and their relevance to mental illness. We next present novel meta-analytic data that reveal distinct subregions of vmPFC corresponding to each of the three psychological domains, as well as the association of each subregion with different psychiatric disorders. We conclude by discussing efforts to translate the growing understanding of vmPFC functional anatomy into more targeted and efficacious treatments for psychopathology.

ANATOMY OF THE vmPFC

In the primate brain, “vmPFC” generally refers to an interconnected network of regions in the lower medial and orbital prefrontal cortices (1–4). In rodents, the infralimbic cortex is typically considered to be related to human and monkey Brodmann area 25, a component of the vmPFC (2,4). It is important to note that the term “vmPFC” does not refer to a...
discrete brain structure with clearly defined and uniformly applied anatomical borders, such as a specific nucleus or gyrus. The use of the term may depend on the anatomical precision of the experimental approach. For example, in human lesion studies, naturally occurring lesions may span multiple Brodmann areas within an individual and include overlapping but distinct areas between individuals; in these studies “vmPFC” is the most specific anatomical label that can accurately be applied. By contrast, human functional imaging studies typically yield activation loci within more circumscribed regions of the vmPFC, and these activations can be reported with more specific anatomical terms such as “anterior medial orbitofrontal cortex” or “subgenual cingulate cortex.” Similarly, nonhuman primate studies that target a specific sulcus, gyrus, or Brodmann area within the vmPFC (e.g., with a focal lesion or recording electrode) may use those more specific anatomical terms, rather than vmPFC [for more detailed consideration of the morphological and cytoarchitectural features of the vmPFC, see (1–4)].

Regardless of the precise anatomical boundaries, the identification of a homologous vmPFC region across rodents, monkeys, and humans has afforded researchers the opportunity to generate a huge corpus of data on the function of this brain region. As detailed in the following sections, results from these studies implicate vmPFC in a variety of psychological and behavioral functions relevant to mental illness.

VALUE AND DECISION MAKING

One of the seminal clinical observations of human neurological patients with focal vmPFC damage is a severe defect in value-based decision making, despite intact performance on conventional measures of intelligence (5,6). This behavioral defect was first captured in the laboratory with a gambling task that requires subjects to learn about rewards and punishments under conditions of risk, ambiguity, and reversing contingencies (7). Subsequent studies of vmPFC lesion patients have documented value-based decision-making deficits in a wide variety of paradigms, including risky gambles (8,9), probabilistic reinforcement learning (10,11), economic exchange (12,13), and simple binary item preference (14). In parallel with these demonstrations of decision-making deficits among vmPFC lesion patients, scores of human functional imaging studies have linked vmPFC activity with the representation of value and reward processing, in a variety of decision-making contexts (15,16). Moreover, animal studies have demonstrated a critical role for vmPFC in representing and updating the reward values of stimuli and outcomes. For example, electrophysiological recording studies in both monkeys and rats demonstrate that the vmPFC encodes the reward properties of stimuli (17,18), while targeted vmPFC lesions in monkeys disrupt reward-guided decision making (19,20).

From a network standpoint, the reward-processing and decision-making functions of the vmPFC are thought to depend, in part, on interactions with the ventral striatum and amygdala. Human functional imaging data show that the vmPFC and ventral striatum exhibit strong functional connectivity at rest (21–23) and are often coactivated during reward processing tasks (22). Moreover, animal research suggests a causal effect of vmPFC activity on ventral striatum activity.

Rodent studies have shown that the vmPFC has direct glutamatergic projections to the ventral striatum (24–26) and that inactivation of vmPFC alters neuronal activity in ventral striatum (27). Lesioning or inactivating both the vmPFC and ventral striatum/accumbens disrupts behavioral responding during reward learning and reaction time tasks, indicating that adaptive decision making depends on concurrent activation of both regions (28–34). Consistent with these animal studies, a recent functional imaging study of human neurological patients with vmPFC damage found attenuated ventral striatum activity during the anticipation of reward (35). Studies have also demonstrated the importance of interactions between the vmPFC and amygdala for reward processing and decision making. In rodents, stimulation of a projection from the central nucleus of the amygdala to the vmPFC modulates reward-related behavior (36). In monkeys, surgical disconnection of the amygdala and vmPFC impairs the ability to flexibly alter choice behavior according to the reward value of stimuli (37,38), while targeted amygdala lesions reduce the percentage of neurons coding reward value in the vmPFC (39). An analogous functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study of 2 human patients with focal bilateral amygdala damage found abnormal activity related to expected reward value in the vmPFC (40).

Together, the rodent, monkey, and human data described in this section converge to characterize the vmPFC as a key node in the neural circuitry underlying reward processing and value-based decision making.

EMOTION REGULATION

A second domain of function in which vmPFC is theorized to play a major role is the regulation of negative emotion. An elegant series of rodent studies using a fear conditioning and extinction paradigm provided the foundational support for this model of vmPFC function. Following the initial demonstration that vmPFC damage impairs recall of extinction learning, as evidenced by elevated conditioned fear responses during the extinction period (41,42), a subsequent electrophysiological study showed that vmPFC neurons fire during extinction recall, and that stimulation of vmPFC neurons reduces conditioned fear responses during the extinction phase (43). These findings, coupled with studies showing that amygdala is critical for the expression of conditioned fear (44) and that vmPFC stimulation suppresses amygdala activity [(45,46); cf. (47)], suggest a mechanism by which vmPFC regulates the expression of fear responses through inhibition of the amygdala. Furthermore, anatomical tracing studies in rodents and nonhuman primates have identified direct projections from the vmPFC to inhibitory interneurons within the amygdala, indicating a viable anatomical substrate for the observed functional relationship (48,49). Human functional imaging studies have yielded additional support for this model, showing that activity in the vmPFC and amygdala is inversely related during the extinction of conditioned fear (50,51), as well as during the volitional suppression of negative emotion (52–54); vmPFC activity is greater in response to the unpaired (nonconditioned) stimulus relative to conditioned stimulus (55); and vmPFC damage is associated with elevated amygdala activity to aversive visual stimuli (56).
A related line of research supporting a role for the vmPFC in regulating negative emotion involves the generalization of conditioned fear (i.e., fear responses to stimuli that are perceptually similar to the conditioned stimulus). A set of rodent studies using molecular manipulations of synaptic transmission and optogenetic activation provided circuit-level evidence for this model of vmPFC function. After an initial study demonstrated that global impairment of synaptic transmission in the medial PFC (mPFC) results in overgeneralization of fear memories (57), a subsequent study showed that inactivation of mPFC inputs to a specific thalamic nucleus, which in turn projects to hippocampus and back to mPFC, similarly increases the generalization of fear memories (58). These findings, along with anatomical studies showing direct links among the mPFC, thalamus, and hippocampus (59,60), suggest a circuit through which the vmPFC may regulate fear memory generalization. Human functional imaging studies have provided additional support for this model, showing greater activity in the vmPFC and hippocampus as stimuli became less similar to the conditioned stimulus (61,62). These fear generalization findings converge with functional imaging findings showing greater vmPFC activity as threatening stimuli become more distal or unlikely (63,64).

Yet another line of research implicating the vmPFC in regulating negative emotion involves the alleviation of pain through placebo or expectancy manipulations. A recent meta-analysis demonstrates that placebo effects and expectations for reduced pain elicit reliable increases in vmPFC activity, along with decreases in regions associated with aversive or noxious stimuli such as the amygdala, insula, and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (65). Furthermore, placebo treatment enhances connectivity between the vmPFC and periaqueductal gray, which could reflect descending regulation of autonomic responses related to pain (66,67). Together, the studies linking vmPFC activity to fear extinction, fear generalization, and placebo analgesia suggest a general role in inhibiting negative emotion and/or signaling safety from threat.

Despite the considerable body of evidence that the vmPFC mediates the inhibition of negative emotion (described above), there is also evidence that the vmPFC plays a role in the generation of negative emotion. It has long been established that humans with vmPFC damage do not exhibit increases in negative affect, such as fear, anxiety, or guilt, following the acquisition of their lesions (as would be predicted by the inhibition model). To the contrary, humans with vmPFC lesions exhibit blunted affect and diminished physiological reactivity to aversive stimuli (68), and a reduced susceptibility to depression and posttraumatic stress disorder (69,70). This effect may depend on damage to the subjacent white matter, as monkey studies indicate that vmPFC lesions involving white matter reduce threat-induced fear responses (71), whereas vmPFC lesions sparing white matter have no such effect (72) or may increase fear responses (73). The vmPFC’s role in generating emotional responses may be explained by its dense projections to the basolateral and central nuclei of the amygdala (in addition to the inhibitory intercalated neurons, as highlighted in the inhibition model) as well as to visceromotor structures like the hypothalamus and periaqueductal gray (3,74). The effects of human vmPFC lesions on the generation of emotional responses could also relate to damage to Brodmann area 32, which is homologous to the rodent prelimbic region implicated in driving (rather than inhibiting) the expression of fear (75). Furthermore, it has been shown that in both monkeys and humans, vmPFC damage reduces activity in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (76,77), a subcortical structure that is centrally involved in anxiety (78). Collectively, the data reviewed in this section demonstrate the importance of the vmPFC in both generating and inhibiting negative emotion.

SOCIAL COGNITION AND SELF-RELEVANCE

In addition to the substantial collection of results linking vmPFC to value processing, decision making, and emotion regulation (described in the previous two sections), the vmPFC has also been implicated in a number of social cognitive functions relevant for mental illness. For example, patients with vmPFC damage exhibit deficits in empathy (6,79) and facial emotion recognition (80,81). Moreover, recent eye-tracking data indicate that vmPFC damage, like amygdala damage, is associated with reduced visual attention to the eye region of faces, and that instruction to attend the eye region can improve emotion recognition deficits in both types of lesion patients (82–84), suggesting a mutual role for these brain areas in allocating visual attention to stimuli with social-affective salience. The vmPFC is also consistently activated in human fMRI studies of moral cognition, and damage to this region yields aberrations in moral judgment (85,86). Meta-analyses of fMRI data also indicate a role for vmPFC in theory-of-mind ability (87,88). Another domain of social cognitive function putatively subserved by vmPFC is processing of self-relevant information. Human functional imaging studies have reliably shown vmPFC activity during tasks that require self-focused thought, such as judging whether a personality trait pertains to oneself, imagining one’s own feelings in a hypothetical situation, or recalling an autobiographical memory (89,90). For this “self-relevant” processing function, vmPFC interacts with a network of brain regions known as the default mode network (91), which includes dorsomedial PFC as well as posterior cingulate cortex and precuneus.

SUBREGIONS WITHIN THE vmPFC AND ASSOCIATIONS WITH PSYCHOPATHOLOGY

Given the variety of psychological functions ascribed to the vmPFC, as well as the variety of brain regions with which the vmPFC interacts, it is important to consider the possibility that there may be anatomically and/or functionally specialized subregions within the vmPFC. To address this possibility empirically, we downloaded a series of meta-analyses of human fMRI data using Neurosynth [www.neurosynth.org; (92)] to identify subregions within vmPFC that are associated with each domain of function (i.e., value and decision making; emotion; social cognition) as well as other regions of the brain that are typically coactivated with each functionally specialized vmPFC subregion (Figure 1). This analysis demonstrates distinct networks of activity associated with the three domains of function described above: for value and decision making, a region of the anterior/pregenual vmPFC and the ventral striatum; for emotion, a region of the posterior/subgenual vmPFC and the amygdala; and for social cognition, a...
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Figure 1. Functionally specialized subregions of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex. Meta-analyses from Neurosynth yielded reverse inference statistical maps for each of the three domains (value and decision making, emotion, and social). For each domain, we selected three keywords that we used as search terms to identify loci of domain-related activity (coded in different shades of green within each row). For the value and decision-making domain, we used search terms “value,” “reward,” and “decision making”; for the emotion domain we used search terms “threat,” “emotional,” and “conditioning”; and for the social domain we used search terms “social,” “moral,” and “theory of mind.” The area of overlap within each domain (coded in red in the top three rows) was similar regardless of which specific domain-relevant search terms we used (i.e., using “fear” instead of “threat,” “empathy” instead of “moral,” or “reward anticipation” instead of “reward” yields nearly identical results). The bottom row shows the areas of overlap for each domain in different colors. This analysis demonstrates distinct subregions within the ventromedial prefrontal cortex as well as distinct patterns of coactivation outside of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex for each domain.

The region of the anterior/pregenual vmPFC and the dorsomedial PFC, precuneus, and temporoparietal cortex. These meta-analytic findings support a previously proposed subregion scheme that distinguishes a more anterior/perigenual region of the vmPFC from a more posterior/subgenual region based on emotional valence, with the anterior region associated with positive valence (e.g., reward, value) and the posterior region associated with negative valence (e.g., threat, fear) (93,94).
Next, we sought to determine the association of the functionally specialized subregions of the vmPFC with different forms of psychopathology. Using the vmPFC subregions derived from the meta-analyses described above (Figure 1), we analyzed the activation loci published in previous meta-analyses of fMRI findings related to specific disorders (e.g., depression, PTSD, addiction, social anxiety disorder, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder) (Figure 2). This analysis yielded two general results. First, different forms of psychopathology are associated with abnormal activity in distinct as well as adjacent/overlapping regions of vmPFC (Supplemental Figure S1). Second, these disorder-related activation loci within vmPFC have distinct relationships with the functionally specialized subregions described above. For example, depression-related activity is strongest in the posterior/subgenual subregion, but there are activation loci overlapping with each of the three functional subregions with the vmPFC (corresponding to value and decision making, emotion, and social, respectively). By contrast, addiction-related, bipolar-related, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-related activity all overlap exclusively with the value and decision-making subregion, whereas posttraumatic stress disorder–related, schizophrenia-related, and social anxiety disorder–related activity all overlap with both the value and decision-making and social subregions. This combination of meta-analyses thus yields novel data regarding the relationship between functional subregions of the vmPFC and distinct forms of psychopathology.

**CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**

As described in the preceding sections, the vmPFC has been implicated in a number of social and affective psychological functions relevant for mental illness. In this section we describe several ways that assessments of vmPFC function could potentially impact clinical practice.

**Neuropsychological Assessment of Transdiagnostic Domains of Function**

Developing methods for the objective assessment of neural circuits responsible for particular domains of psychological or behavioral dysfunction that may be shared across traditional diagnoses is a critical step toward a neuropathophysiologically based system of psychiatric diagnosis and treatment (95). As detailed above, the vmPFC is a key neural substrate for several relevant domains of psychological function. In Table 1, we provide examples of how neuroimaging measures of vmPFC activity have been linked to domains of psychological or behavioral dysfunction that are relevant for mental health,
One of the most challenging aspects of psychiatric patient care is the substantial heterogeneity present within a single across multiple disorders. For each of these functional domains, there exist laboratory techniques for biological and behavioral assessment, including measures of task performance, peripheral physiology, and neural activity. The adaptation and application of these paradigms for studies of clinical utility is likely to accelerate in the near future.

**Anatomical Target for Intervention**

Given the pivotal role that the vmPFC appears to play in multiple facets of mental health, it has become an attractive target for therapies that can be localized to particular regions of the brain. Historically, surgical ablative procedures for refractory mental illness have targeted subregions of vmPFC or its subjacent white matter [e.g., subcaudate tractotomy (96), orbitomedial leucotomy (97)]. More recently, deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the subgenual region has been tested for treatment-resistant depression. While preliminary open-label studies of the subgenual DBS with relatively small samples have shown efficacy in reducing depression symptom severity (98), further refinement of the technique and larger controlled trials will be necessary to more firmly establish this approach. Less invasive approaches, such as transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) or transcranial direct current stimulation, may be able to modulate activity in more superficial regions of vmPFC. These approaches have been employed to examine vmPFC-mediated psychological functions (99–101), but there are not yet data regarding their efficacy in treating mental illness.

**Table 1. Transdiagnostic Links Between vmPFC Activity and Psychological Functions Relevant for Mental Illness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain of Psychological Function</th>
<th>Disorders in Which Functional Domain Is Linked to Abnormal vmPFC Activity</th>
<th>Example References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value Representation/Value-Based Decision Making</td>
<td>Major depression</td>
<td>(124,125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substance use disorder</td>
<td>(126,127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autism spectrum disorder</td>
<td>(128,129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder</td>
<td>(130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>(131,132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear Extinction</td>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>(133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>(134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>(135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion Regulation</td>
<td>Major depression</td>
<td>(53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>(136)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear Generalization</td>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>(137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>(138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumination/Self-reflection</td>
<td>Major depression</td>
<td>(120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autism spectrum disorder</td>
<td>(139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moral Sensitivity</td>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>(140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychopathity</td>
<td>(141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty/Unpredictability</td>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>(142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>(143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory of Mind/Mental State Inference</td>
<td>Autism spectrum disorder</td>
<td>(144)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychopathity</td>
<td>(145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>(146,147)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

vmPFC, ventromedial prefrontal cortex.

**Predictor of Treatment Response**

Apart from comprising a direct target for intervention, the vmPFC has shown great promise as a predictor of response to a variety of treatments. For example, the efficacy of TMS applied to the dorsolateral PFC for depression is related to the functional connectivity between the stimulation site and the subgenual vmPFC (102), while the efficacy of TMS applied to the dorsomedial PFC for depression is related to greater pretreatment resting-state functional connectivity between the vmPFC and dorsomedial PFC (103), as well as to greater pretreatment resting-state functional connectivity between the vmPFC and the striatum, ventral tegmental area, and dorsal PFC (104). A recent study found that subgenual vmPFC volume predicts response to electroconvulsive therapy (105), while responders to DBS of the white matter underlying the subgenual vmPFC exhibit stronger connections among the vmPFC, anterior cingulate cortex, and ventral striatum, relative to nonresponders (106). In studies of antidepressant medication for depression, pretreatment resting-state functional connectivity between the vmPFC and anterior cingulate cortex correlates with treatment response (107). In studies of cognitive-behavioral therapy for depression, treatment response has been positively associated with pretreatment activity in an anterior region of the vmPFC during an emotional picture-viewing task (108), but negatively associated with pretreatment activity in a posterior region of the vmPFC during an emotional word-viewing task (109). Interestingly, this anterior-posterior difference in treatment response prediction is consistent with the anteroposterior vmPFC subregion scheme described above. Together, these findings suggest that the efficacies of distinct treatment modalities (e.g., TMS, electroconvulsive therapy, antidepressant medication, cognitive behavioral therapy) can be predicted by different neuroimaging markers of vmPFC function before treatment initiation. Building on this promising collection of results, prospective studies will be necessary to determine if neuroimaging assessments of vmPFC function can be used clinically to assign patients to the most effective available treatment option.

**Marker of Treatment Efficacy**

Consistent with the pretreatment response prediction findings described above, a number of studies have demonstrated changes in vmPFC function from pretreatment to posttreatment. For example, a meta-analysis of antidepressant effects shows increased activity in the anterior vmPFC related to positive emotions (110). Similar task-related increases in the anterior vmPFC activity have been observed in studies of psychotherapy for depression (108,111). Decreases in activity in posterior vmPFC activity have been observed in studies of antidepressant medication (112,113), TMS (114), and DBS (115). Again, note that the increases in activity in anterior vmPFC regions (for antidepressants and psychotherapy) and decreases in activity in posterior vmPFC regions (for antidepressants, psychotherapy, and DBS) are consistent with the anteroposterior vmPFC subregion scheme described above.

**Subtyping**

One of the most challenging aspects of psychiatric patient care is the substantial heterogeneity present within a single
categorical diagnosis. For example, the standard diagnostic criteria for major depression can be met by hundreds of possible symptom combinations. The elucidation of subtypes within a psychiatric diagnosis thus holds tremendous promise for more precise and effective patient care. While subtypes within various psychiatric disorders have been proposed based on psychological, behavioral, and/or etiological characteristics (116–118), it is possible that subtypes based on neurobiology could more closely correspond to differences in the proximal mechanisms of dysfunction, and thereby yield diagnostic groups with more homogenous treatment needs. Although efforts to define disorder subtypes based on clustering analyses of neuroimaging data are in the early stages, a promising recent study identified four subtypes of depression based on resting-state functional connectivity data (119). Despite differences in connectivity in a variety of cortical and subcortical regions, all four subtypes share abnormal connectivity of the vmPFC, among other regions. Given the widespread role that the vmPFC plays in psychological functions relevant for mental illness, it is likely that future studies of this type will also reveal vmPFC function as a key factor in parsing the shared versus unique neural substrates of disorder subtypes.

CONCLUSIONS

Collectively, the findings reviewed in this article substantiate two general points. First, whereas recent review articles highlighting vmPFC dysfunction in mental illness have focused almost exclusively on one psychological function and/or one category of mental illness [e.g., (120,121–123)], the data reviewed here establish the role of the vmPFC in multiple functions, multiple brain networks, and multiple disorders. Second, there is a burgeoning collection of clinical studies that portend the translation of these neuroimaging measures of vmPFC-based circuits into clinically useful techniques for improving the diagnosis and treatment of mental illness.
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